Spartans left the constitution untouched and did not restore the exiles. Thus, the whole internal unrest was between oligarchs. After the Corinthian War, some friends of the exiles were also νεωτέρων τινὲς ἐπιθυμοῦντες πραγμάτων (5.2.9). These "newer things" did not mean a change of constitution, for the dispute was finally resolved by a board of one hundred, fifty from those at home and fifty from the exiles, who were determined νόμους θεῖναι, καθ' οῦς πολιτεύσοιντο (5.3.25). The reform of the laws is analogous to the Athenian experience of 404 B.C. The Athenians chose men οῖ [τοὺς πατρίους] νόμους συγγράψουσι, καθ' οῦς πολιτεύσουσι (Xen. *Hell.* 2.3.2). <sup>24</sup> The only difference is that in Athens the ancestral laws were democratic, in Phlius oligarchic. There is absolutely nothing at Phlius to suggest that the dispute had anything to do with democracy. It was rather a split in oligarchic policy.

The same was true of Corinth. The στάσις did not involve a change of constitution, at least not at the outset. Instead, one group of oligarchs was pro-war and pro-allies, while the other was pro-peace and pro-Spartan. All of this points to the obvious conclusion that the entire dispute was a split in policy among Corinthian oligarchs. The pro-war Corinthians found themselves in the minority unable to command power by legal means. Being the minority, they resorted to force to maintain their power. That amply justifies the description of them as τινὲς τῶν ἐπιθυμούντων κράτους. That amply justifies the description of them as τινὲς τῶν ἐπιθυμούντων κράτους.

JOHN BUCKLER
University of Illinois

- 24. For the entire sequence of events, see C. Hignett, A History of the Athenian Constitution (Oxford, 1952), 285–98; K. R. Walters, "The 'Ancestral Constitution' and Fourth-Century Historiography in Athens," AJAH 1 (1976): 129–44; and T. C. Loening, The Reconciliation Agreement of 404/2 B.C. in Athens (Stuttgart, 1987).
- 25. Hence, there is no reason to conclude with Thompson ("Stasis," 142) "that Diodorus (or his source) regards the Corinthian murderers as partisans of democracy." That opinion depends solely on the emendation that has been rejected.
- 26. This situation is quite analogous to that obtaining at Thebes, where in 382 B.C. the oligarch Leontiades conspired with the Spartan general Phoebidas to suppress Hismenias, his political rival and opponent: Xen. *Hell.* 5.2.26–32; Diod. 15.20.2–3; Plut. *Pel.* 5, *Ages.* 23.6–11, *Mor.* 575F; Nep. *Pel.* 1.2–2.1.
- 27. It is a pleasure to thank Professor David Sansone and the two anonymous readers of *CP* for help in various ways. Any remaining errors are mine alone.

## THE HOPE OF THE YEAR: VIRGIL GEORGICS 1. 224 AND HESIOD OPERA ET DIES 617

In *Georgics* 1 Virgil advises that the farmer not sow before the cosmical setting of the Pleiades (early November) (1.221–24):

ante tibi Eoae Atlantides abscondantur Cnosiaque ardentis decedat stella Coronae, debita quam sulcis committas semina quamque invitae properes anni spem credere terrae.

The correlation of the setting of the Pleiades with sowing and plowing is taken over from Hesiod. Commentators have noted that Virgil incorporates elements from Opera et Dies 383-86 in his description: Atlantides = ἀτλαγενέων (383), abscondantur = κεκρύφαται (386). His other model is Opera et Dies 614-17:

αὐτὰρ ἐπὴν δή Πληιάδες θ' Ύάδες τε τό τε σθένος 'Ωρίωνος δύνωσιν, τότ' ἔπειτ' ἀρότου μεμνημένος εἶναι ώραίου πλειὼν δὲ κατὰ χθονὸς ἄρμενος εἴη.

The Hesiodic hapax πλειών (617) has given readers both ancient and modern trouble. The most natural meaning for the word in this context is 'seed'. So West: "What goes or is below the earth at that season is the seed, and in view of Hesych. πλειόνει σπείρει this must be regarded as the likeliest meaning of πλειών (Sittl, Mazon). The etymology is perspicuous: < \*πλη-ών, that which fills up or multiplies." Nevertheless, πλειών is glossed ἐνιαυτός by the commentators and lexicographers (Proclus, Tzetzes, Hesychius) and is used in the sense 'year' by a number of Hellenistic poets.<sup>3</sup>

In Georgics 1.224 Virgil renders πλειών as anni spem: just as the πλειών is secured κατὰ  $\chi\theta$ ονός, the anni spem is entrusted to the invitae terrae. Clearly Virgil's choice of anni demonstrates his awareness that πλειών may mean 'year'. But why spem? Varro notes that the rustic (and hence linguistically conservative) pronunciation speca (for spica) makes evident the word's derivation from spes: "spica autem, quam rustici, ut acceperunt antiquitus, vocant specam, a spe videtur nominata; eam enim quod sperant fore, serunt" (Rust. 1.48.2). So Virgil has a precedent for associating spes with agriculture. But it is especially interesting that Varro should elucidate the nominal etymology spica a spe by means of two closely linked verbs (serunt and sperant): farmers sow grain because they hope for the mature ears. The Greek for sow is  $\sigma$ πείρω; thus Varro's sperant 'hope' suggests the interlinguistic equation spero =  $\sigma$ πείρω. With spem Virgil picks up on this equation and captures the intuition that πλειών means 'seed'.

Thus Virgil in rendering πλειών as anni spem does two things: he acknowledges the Alexandrian tradition that πλειών means 'year' while at the same time allowing for the intuitive sense of πλειών 'seed'. Facing the problems presented by the hapax πλειών, Virgil interprets Hesiod instead of merely translating him. "The hope of the year" forms an elegant metonym for 'seed'. The collocation means more than the sum of its parts.  $^5$ 

## MALCOLM D. HYMAN AND PHILIP THIBODEAU Brown University

- 1. See R. Thomas, ed., Virgil, "Georgics," vol. 1 (Cambridge, 1988), ad 1. 221.
- 2. M. L. West, ed., Hesiod, "Works & Days" (Oxford, 1978), ad 617.
- 3. Ibid.
- 4. Cf. Varro Ling. 5. 37.
- 5. We should like to thank Michael C. J. Putnam, David Konstan, and William Wyatt for their comments and suggestions.